

The Efficacy of Air Pollution Control Efforts: Evidence from AURA



Presented at the Aura Science Team Meeting
by
Russell R. Dickerson

**T. Canty, B. Duncan, H. He, N. Krotkov, R. Salawitch, J. Stehr,
K. Vinnikov**
Univ. Maryland and NASA/GSFC

13:45 Sept. 15, 2014



Canty et al. showed that OMI NO₂ data help evaluate and improve CMAQ.

Posters on Air Quality in the Eastern US

- Carpenter et al., OMI H₂CO and isoprene emissions.
- Hembeck et al., CMAQ/CB05 and H₂CO
- Montgomery et al. Ship emissions

Overview


- The main air quality issues in the US are O₃, fine particulate matter (PM2.5), and haze.
- The main precursors are NO_x, SO₂, and VOC's. NO₂ has plummeted (press release) and O₃ with it. (CSAPR)
- The regulatory models (CMAQ and CAMx) show O₃ as a *local* problem; observations show it as a *regional* problem.
- Emissions for SO₂ are monitored and well known; the lifetime (conversion to sulfate) is not.
- Using OMI obs in combination with in situ measurements and models has led to advances in our ability to understand and improve air quality.



NASA Aura OMI Shows Air Quality is Improving




- OMI nitrogen dioxide data indicate a 30-40% decrease in the pollutant's levels from 2005 to 2011.
- NO₂ levels have dropped through the implementation of emission control devices on coal-burning power plants and more fuel-efficient cars.
- NASA AQAST members are working with state air quality agencies to demonstrate the effectiveness of their efforts to improve air quality and to find novel uses of satellite data for air quality applications.




Air Quality Media Campaign: NASA AQAST Members Get the Word Out on Improving Air Quality

- The vast majority of Americans believe their air quality is worsening and their tax dollars going to improving air quality are for naught.
- Air quality managers often complain of this erroneous perception by the general public.
- On June 27th, NASA AQAST members did over 20 live interviews (e.g., Fox News, The Weather Channel), several taped interviews (e.g., CNN), and numerous phone and email interviews.
- The story was reported in numerous news outlets (e.g., Smithsonian, Science World Report).




OMI NO₂ trends compare well to “nose-level” trends

- Air quality managers want to understand the correspondence between satellite column data, such as OMI NO₂, and ground-level values. (This is the focus of the NASA DISCOVER-AQ field campaign.) The graph below shows a comparison of monthly-averaged EPA Air Quality System (AQS) surface data and OMI data for sites in the Houston metropolitan area from 2005 to 2013.
- With a few exceptions, the normalized monthly anomalies (from the mean) of the satellite data mimic the anomalies in the surface data. Work is ongoing to identify the causes of any discrepancies.
- The annual trends (relative to 2005) agree well, indicating an approximately 30% decrease in NO₂ pollution in Houston. The agreement between OMI and AQS data is similarly good for most major US metropolitan areas.



As measured NO_x levels have gone down So have ambient ozone levels



Observations show: NO_x reductions worked, but response is nonlinear; we had to get over the hump.





Aside: H₂CO shows no such correlation with this ozone trend.

An experiment of opportunity: SO₂



In 2010, Maryland implemented the
“Healthy Air Act”



Power Plant Emissions in Maryland and surrounding states.




The Healthy
Air Act



Aircraft profiles show great variability, but a significant SO_2 decrease.


2006–2009 MEANS




Ambient SO_2 (ppb) at Beltsville, MD

- Daily cycle \sim factor of two.
- Seasonal cycle \sim factor of two.
- Dramatic decreases after Healthy Air Act.
- Maxima in mid day when PBL entrains plumes from aloft.
- Surface SO_2 reflects emissions reductions.


2010–2013 MEANS




OMI SO₂ 2005-2009



OMI SO₂ 2010-2012



Difference in SO₂ (DU)



Also in this issue:

IT Insight: Can Windows and Other OSs Play in the Same Sandbox?

Asian Connections: Notes from the 1st Clean Fuels and Vehicles Forum in the ASEAN Region

Applying Satellite Data to Air Quality Management

Research conducted by the NASA Air Quality Applied Sciences Team (AQAST) shows that Earth science data are a great potential resource for air quality managers



Please Recycle This Issue

*****ORIGIN MIXED ADC 150
UNIV OF MARYLAND
1 LIBRARY LN
ACQA SERIALS/MCCELLIN LIB
COLLEGE PARK MD 20742-0001



Also in this issue:

EPA Research Highlights:
Atum laceperum vendipsam quost, aut verita.

PM File:
Daepuda dolupta vendit qui

September issue of
EM dedicated to
DISCOVER-AQ.
Six articles

This is a Mockup
Cover

DISCOVER-AQ

*Et animaionsed eos
aut laces non min*

Henis restenis dolori corporeped et iam nim qui
berum re perovit isimoll oribus quuntium liae etAtur,
nonsendam ressequi rector iorenis.



*Et voluptat dolorem
porem. Itasima, sin
nonsequae sinum lit.*

Please Recycle This Issue

Interim conclusions

In situ and remote obs in agreement





The good news: Local emissions reductions have an immediate and profound impact on local concentrations of SO₂.

The lifetime of SO₂ can be estimated from the column content and known emissions flux. Lee, Hains, et al., *JRG* 2011; Loughner et al, 2014.

CMAQ (EPA regulatory model) underestimates the lifetime of NOx, but overestimates the emissions. Anderson et al., *Atmos. Environ.* 2014; Canty et al., 2014.




The bad news: PM2.5 only fell modestly.



Why? Sulfate was dominant and has a longer lifetime than SO₂.

SOA becoming dominant; it also has a lifetime of ~10 d.

Life as a Downwind State





Justice Ginsburg, “The wind bloweth where it listeth, and thou hearest the sound thereof, but canst not tell whence it cometh, and whither it goeth.” The Holy Bible, John 3:8 (King James Version).

Justice Breyer, “the EPA faces this kind of regional problem, and it's a regional, not just a statewide problem....”

April 29, 2014 year, the **Supreme Court** upheld on the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, CSAPR.
NASA data went into an *Amicus Brief*.

Ongoing projects

- Helping CMAQ more accurately predict ozone.
- Maryland owes EPA a SIP. Local vs. regional measures?
- Impact of increasing oil natural gas and production (fracking).




Conclusions


- Air Quality managers are using satellite data including OMI!
- The Healthy Air Act produced 80% reductions in SO₂ emissions in Maryland starting in 2010.
- OMI and ambient measurements of short lived ($24 \pm 10\text{hr}$) SO₂ showed dramatically and immediately AQ improvement.
- Longer lived (~10 d) PM2.5 improved much less so.
- Both O₃ and H₂CO correlate with T, but in long term trends only O₃ and NO₂ correlate.
- This tells policy makers to focus on NOx controls; both local and regional.




End




Time series of July OMI HCHO column vs. Surface Temperature




Time series of July OMI HCHO column vs. Precipitation
→ No correlation and 2013 July is dry!



OMI HCHO column vs. Surface Temperature → Strong




OMI HCHO column vs. Surface Temperature without data from dry year of 2013 → HCHO depends on Temperature!



Time series of July OMI NO_2 column vs. Surface Temperature
→ Before the local HAA (2010), NO_2 & T are correlated.



Canty et al., submitted.



CMAQ modeled NO_2 high in cities low in countryside.
Inspired by Castellanos et al., 2011.


Ready results

If CB05 is modified to shorten the lifetime of alkyl nitrates and reduce mobile source NOx emissions then CMAQ and OMI agree better.




2004-2009 minus 2010-2012

Change in SO₂ column from OMI Using Fioletov's sub-pixel resolution product.




PINNACLE STATE PARK, ADDISON, NY. (42.09°N , 77.21°W), DIURNAL/SEASONAL SO₂




More SO₂ on sunny days; site at 500m.
 For Hao's paper – bias? We need to compare the ratio of the
 ratio in situ SO₂ to OMI SO₂ Thanks to Jim Schwab SUNY

Is there an impact from cloud cover?


BELTSVILLE, MD. (39.0553°N , 76.8783°W), DIURNAL/SEASONAL CYCLES SO_2 ppb



PINNACLE STATE PARK, ADDISON, NY. (42.09°N, 77.21°W), Hourly SO₂ ppb



R(t) LAG-CORRELATION SO₂, PINNACLE STATE PARK, NY. 1998–2008




	<i>Mean, ppb</i>	<i>STD, ppb</i>	<i>Decay time, hours</i>
<i>All times</i>	2.5	3.5	10.2
<i>Night, Cold season</i>	3.6	4.4	11.0
<i>Night, Warm season</i>	1.4	2.2	7.1
<i>Day, Cold season</i>	3.5	4.3	10.5
<i>Day, Warm season</i>	1.5	2.1	7.4

$R(t)=\exp(-t/T)$ - is Lag-Correlation function.

t - is Lag, T - is decay time or Scale of temporal correlation.


What is the lifetime of SO₂?




- The decay time (τ_{decay}) reflects the sum of actual losses and dispersion.
$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{Decay}}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{SO}_2}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{Disp}}}$$
- Using CO for dispersion time (~17 hr << chemical lifetime) we can estimate the lifetime for SO₂ all losses including oxidation, wet and dry deposition.
- The implied lifetime for SO₂ is 24 (± 10) hr at Pinnacles (elevation 500 m).

2004-2009 minus 2010-2012


Change in SO₂ column from OMI Using Fioletov's sub-pixel resolution product.



PINNACLE STATE PARK, ADDISON, NY. (42.09°N , 77.21°W), Hourly CO ppb




$R(t)$ LAG-CORRELATION CO. PINNACLE STATE PARK, NY. 2007–2012




	Mean, ppb	STD, ppb	Decay time, hours
All times	153	25	17.0
Night, Cold season	165	26	15.5
Night, Warm season	143	23	17.6
Day, Cold season	160	25	16.9
Day, Warm season	142	23	16.3

$R(t)=\exp(-t/T)$ - is Lag-Correlation function.
 t - is Lag, T - is decay time or Scale of temporal correlation.

ATLANTA, GA. (33.72019°N , 84.3571°W), DIURNAL/SEASONAL CYCLES SO_2 ppb



PITTSBURGH, PA. (40.4456°N , 80.0162°W), DIURNAL/SEASONAL CYCLES SO_2 ppb



% Change

